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Aims
The interest in unicompartmental knee arthroplasty (UKA) for medial osteoarthritis has increased rapidly but the long-term follow-up of the Oxford UKAs has yet to be analysed in non-designer centres. We have examined our ten- to 15-year clinical and radiological follow-up data for the Oxford Phase III UKAs.

Patients and Methods
Between January 1999 and January 2005 a total of 138 consecutive Oxford Phase III arthroplasties were performed by a single surgeon in 129 patients for medial compartment osteoarthritis (71 right and 67 left knees, mean age 72.0 years (47 to 91), mean body mass index 28.2 (20.7 to 52.2)). Both clinical data and radiographs were prospectively recorded and obtained at intervals. Of the 129 patients, 32 patients (32 knees) died, ten patients (12 knees) were not able to take part in the final clinical and radiological assessment due to physical and mental conditions, but via telephone interview it was confirmed that none of these ten patients (12 knees) had a revision of the knee arthroplasty. One patient (two knees) was lost to follow-up.

Results
The mean follow-up was 11.7 years (10 to 15). A total of 11 knees (8%) were revised. The survival at 15 years with revision for any reason as the endpoint was 90.6% (95% confidence interval (CI) 85.2 to 96.0) and revision related to the prosthesis was 99.3% (95% CI 97.9 to 100). The mean total Knee Society Score was 47 (0 to 80) pre-operatively and 81 (30 to 100) at final follow-up. The mean Oxford Knee Score was 19 (12 to 40) pre-operatively and 42 (28 to 55) at final follow-up. Radiolucency beneath the tibial component occurred in 22 of 81 prostheses (27.2%) without evidence of loosening.

Conclusion
This study supports the use of UKA in medial compartment osteoarthritis with excellent long-term functional and radiological outcomes with an excellent 15-year survival rate.
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Interest in unicompartmental knee arthroplasty (UKA) for medial osteoarthritis has increased rapidly over the last two decades. The main reasons for its rising popularity are the introduction of minimally invasive surgical (MIS) techniques with modified surgical instruments, the publication of the excellent medium- and long-term results of the Oxford Phase II arthroplasty (Zimmer Biomet Ltd, Swindon, United Kingdom) and the well documented improved polyethylene wear characteristics of the mobile bearing device. Medial osteoarthritis of the knee is considered to be a unicompartmental disease and, when left untreated, may later progress to involve the other knee compartments. This has given rise to the rationale for treatment of only one compartment, either with a high tibial osteotomy (HTO) or a UKA. We describe our experience of using the Oxford Phase III (Zimmer Biomet Ltd) prosthesis, with a minimally invasive technique, implanted by a single surgeon and focuses on post-operative knee function, number and reason for revision operations, pain and radiological results. The medium-term outcome of the Oxford Phase III-UKA is reported in other studies. We hypothesise that this study demonstrates the effectiveness and safety of a minimally invasive surgical approach for implanting the Oxford UKA with good to excellent long-term follow-up. This is the first study that reports the survival, clinical and radiological outcomes of the Oxford Phase III UKA after a minimum of ten years follow-up.
Materials and Methods

Between January 1999 and January 2005, 138 medial Oxford Phase III arthroplasties (129 patients) were performed in a district general hospital by a single surgeon (AEL). There were no one-stage bilateral UKAs. All patients were diagnosed with medial compartment osteoarthritis of the knee based on history, physical examination and radiographs: short-length weight-bearing anteroposterior (AP), lateral, axial patellar view and tunnel view. Stress radiographs were done on indication when clinical examination showed some medial collateral ligament stiffness. The strict indication criteria for UKA were followed. Osteoarthritis of the patellofemoral joint and obesity were not considered contraindications for this procedure. The patients’ demographic details are shown in Table I. Medium-term (mean follow-up 4.2 years, 1 to 10.4) results of this Oxford Phase III cohort were reported in 2011. This report is a follow-up study of the original patient cohort with a minimal ten years’ follow-up.

A total of 32 patients (32 knees) died in the study period (mean 6.7 years post-operatively, 1 to 11.5), none of them as a result of the surgery. These patients were analysed until the latest follow-up recorded. Among these patients one UKA was revised to a total knee arthroplasty (TKA) for disease progression of the lateral compartment. A total of ten patients (12 knees) did not attend the outpatient clinic for their last follow-up due to general health related reasons. These patients or their relatives were subsequently interviewed by telephone and none of them had undergone a revision operation. One patient (two knees) was considered as lost to follow-up. A total of 11 patients (11 knees) were revised to TKA. In total 75 patients (81 knees) were assessed at the outpatient clinic for a final follow-up at a minimum ten years. This study was performed as routine follow-up and examination was performed in accordance with generally accepted practice. Approval was obtained from our institutional review board.

Surgical technique. The cemented Oxford Phase III UKA consists of cobalt chromium molybdenum spherical femoral and flat tibial component on which a fully congruent polyethylene mobile bearing is seated. The MIS operation technique has been described in detail by Price et al. The instruments available not only allow better component positioning compared with the Phase II implant, but also create a reproducible balance of the flexion and extension gap to achieve improved stability. Before cementing, pulsed lavage is used to rinse the subchondral bone. Full weight-bearing was allowed immediately post-operatively and thromboprophylaxis (Fraxiparine 2850 IU, GlaxoSmithKline, Zeist, The Netherlands) was prescribed for six weeks.

Outcome measures. The clinical follow-up consisted of a routine physical examination of the knee with range of movement (ROM) and stability testing, registration of pain and satisfaction with the visual analogue scale (VAS, 0 to 10 best to worst), complications and a standard series of radiographs: short-length weight-bearing AP, lateral and axial patellar views. Patients attended the routine follow-up assessments in the outpatient clinic scheduled at six weeks, six months, and two, five, ten and 15 years. Revision was defined as any surgical procedure that resulted in the removal or exchange of any of the arthroplasty components. Pain, function and health-related quality of life were evaluated pre- and post-operatively by patient- and assessor-based outcome scores validated in Dutch. The Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index (WOMAC Score), Oxford Knee Score, the Knee Society Score (KSS) and VAS for pain and satisfaction were used. A limiting factor in the study design was that the pre-operative pain VAS was not included from the start. We continued to only use the VAS post-operatively once it was added to the study protocol.

The accuracy of implant positioning (varus, valgus, flexion and extension of the implant) was determined by short-length weight-bearing AP and lateral knee radiographs on first outpatient assessment and then at routine outpatient clinic visits. A fluoroscopic-centred technique, in which the x-ray beam was perfectly aligned to be perpendicular to the implant interfaces as described by Gulati et al., was applied by the senior author (AEL) to assess any (partial or complete) radiolucency at the bone-cement interface above the femoral component and under the tibial component. A radiolucent line < 2 mm width with a sclerotic line beneath the tibial component was considered to be physiological. Any line > 2 mm without a thin sclerotic bordering line was considered as a pathological radiolucency. Partial or complete radiolucency refers to the extent of the line bordering the component. The presence and extent of radiolucency were investigated in 75 available patients (81 knees).

Statistical analysis. A survival table was constructed and the cumulative rates were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier survival analysis with a 95% confidence interval.
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Failure was defined as the removal of any component of the implant during the follow-up. A distinction was made between revision prosthesis and non-prosthesis related. Prosthesis related was due to component malposition/dislocation. Except for age, the data were not normally distributed. Pre- and post-operative data are represented with descriptive statistics. The median or mean and the range are presented as appropriate. The tibiofemoral angles were compared using the non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test with a level of significance at p < 0.05. Data were analysed using SPSS software (SPSS 22.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

Results

The mean follow-up was 11.7 years (10 to 15). Pre- and post-operative outcomes are summarised in Table II. In all, 77% of knees (n = 62) had a good or excellent clinical outcome score according to the KSS. The survival at 15 years with revision for any reason as the endpoint was 90.6% (95% CI 85.2 to 96.0) and prosthesis related revision was 99.3% (95% CI 97.9 to 100; Fig. 1). A total of 11 knees (8%) (138 knees at risk) underwent revision surgery after a mean follow-up of 5.7 years (0.5 to 11). In four patients the revision surgery was within four years post-operatively because of surgical error (n = 1; combination of malalignment femoral component and flexion-extension gap mismatch) or due to failure to adhere to the strict indication criteria for the Oxford UKA (n = 3) the details of which are reported in Table III. A total of seven knees were revised between five and 11 years follow-up: two because of consistent unexplained pain (1.5%) and five (3.6%) due to progression of osteoarthritis in the lateral compartment. There were no revisions due to infection, wear, implant fracture or loosening of the components.

Radiology

A total of 81 knees were available for radiological examination. Radiolucency was identified in 27.2% of all available UKAs. Complete physiological radiolucency (< 2 mm) was observed in five (6.2%) tibial components. In all, 15 (18.5%) tibial components had only partial physiological radiolucent lines. All these physiological radiolucencies (total 24.7%) in 20 knees were visible at year one post-operatively and remained unchanged in extent and thickness at later follow-up. In two knees (2.5%), pathological signs of radiolucency beneath the tibial component were observed. These arthroplasties were still not revised and functioning well at final (greater than ten years) follow-up. No radiolucency was found in relation to the femoral component.

Progression of medial facet patellofemoral joint osteoarthritis (PFJ-OA) as seen on axial patellar view in the presence of patellofemoral joint narrowing was observed in two non-symptomatic knees. The occurrence of lateral facet PFJ-OA was observed in two patients, of whom one knee in each patient was symptomatic and was revised. The
mean tibiofemoral angle measured on weight-bearing short-length AP knee views at six months was 5.0° valgus, (-2 to 15) and decreased at final follow-up to 4.7° valgus (-6 to 16) (p = 0.001). Long-length standing radiographs were not available at the institution.

Discussion
The most important findings of this study were the excellent long-term clinical outcome scores of the Oxford Phase III UKA with a cumulative survival rate with revision for any reason as endpoint of 90.6% (95% CI 85.2 to 96.0) at 15 years follow-up obtained in a district general hospital. Price et al and Clement et al also reported high medium-term (seven to ten year) survival rates. The first two years were considered as the learning curve period. These patients are included in the study. The average number of procedures that were performed annually in this series was 28 (Fig. 2). According to Liddle et al 28 per year would account for a medium volume (eight to 30 per year). After the learning curve period in this study, high volumes were obtained annually. In another study Liddle et al showed that low-usage surgeons tend to have high revision rates and recommend that at least 20% of their arthroplasties should be UKAs to achieve higher survival rates. The importance of high-volume units for the technically demanding Oxford arthroplasty was stressed by Koskinen et al who reported high failure rates in their Finnish Arthroplasty Register study in low number surgeons/clinics. To our knowledge this is the first study, which describes the results of the Oxford Phase III UKA after a minimum of ten years follow-up for a single non-designer surgeon with large volume. Svärd also described the long-term (mean 12.5 years; 10.1 to 15.6) results of the Oxford prosthesis (Phase I and II) but by a standard open procedure. Their ten-year cumulative survival was 95.0% (95% CI 90.8 to 99.3). The series by Svärd and Price showed very few

Table III. Details of revisions to primary total knee arthroplasty (TKA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revision</th>
<th>Indication for revision</th>
<th>Operative findings</th>
<th>Time to revision (yrs)</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2nd bearing dislocation</td>
<td>Flexion-extension gap mismatch, Malrotation femoral component</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>Primary TKA</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Insufficient ACL, Chondropathy lateral compartment</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>Primary TKA</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Disease progression</td>
<td>Lateral compartment OA, previous HTO</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>Primary TKA</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>PFJ-OA*</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>Primary TKA</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Disease progression</td>
<td>PFJ-OA and lateral compartment OA</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>Primary TKA</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>No cause found</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>Primary TKA</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Disease progression</td>
<td>Lateral compartment OA</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>Primary TKA</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Disease progression</td>
<td>Lateral compartment OA</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>Primary TKA</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Disease progression</td>
<td>Lateral compartment OA</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>Primary TKA</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Disease progression</td>
<td>Lateral compartment OA</td>
<td>10.16</td>
<td>Primary TKA</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>No cause found</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td>Primary TKA</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Failure to adhere to the strict indication criteria for the Oxford unicompartmental knee arthroplasties
† Prosthesis related failure
ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; PFJ, patellofemoral joint; OA, osteoarthritis; HTO, high tibial osteotomy

Fig. 2
Annual number of Oxford Phase III arthroplasties performed by the single surgeon.
revisions in the second decade after the index procedure and suggested that the implant is durable in this period after implantation. Recently, Pandit et al\textsuperscript{14} reported similar long-term (mean 10.3 years; 5.3 to 16.6) outcomes in the designer's group of 1000 implants with a 15-year survival rate (with all implant-related re-operations considered as failures) of 91\% (95\% CI 83.0 to 97.9) and 79\% of knees with a good or excellent clinical outcome score.\textsuperscript{28}

The present study reports the outcome of patients with a long-term follow-up. We observed that functional recovery is almost reached after one year and does not improve significantly thereafter. This finding is also stated by Pandit et al.\textsuperscript{35} When any surgery related factors are involved, revisions occur mostly within two years after primary surgery.\textsuperscript{6,36,37} Late revisions in our series occurred due to the presence of symptomatic lateral compartment arthritis after a mean follow-up of 7.5 years (3.6\%; Table III). Progression of lateral compartment OA is the most common cause of revision in our series and this corresponds with Pandit et al\textsuperscript{11} and Price, Waite and Svärd.\textsuperscript{38} Pandit et al\textsuperscript{14} showed that 2.5\% of their revisions were due to lateral compartment OA. Emerson and Higgins\textsuperscript{10} reported 12.7\% of total revisions including 7.3\% (n = 4) of revisions due to lateral OA after a mean follow-up of 10.2 years in a series of 55 UKAs. They did not find any correlation between revision and post-operative alignment of the limb. On the other hand some similar studies report that the incidence of disease progression of the lateral compartment is low and even rare: Saldanha et al\textsuperscript{39} reported 1.3\%, Kim et al\textsuperscript{12} reported 0.6\% and Faour-Martín et al\textsuperscript{40} reported none in their series. Overall, in the present study the revision rate for lateral compartment OA is slightly higher than previously reported. Apart from overcorrection into valgus in one case with minimal lateral compartment chondropathy pre-operatively, we do not have an explanation for this slightly higher revision rate.

Pre-existent PFJ-OA is considered not to be a contraindication for performing UKA. According to the designer group of the Oxford prosthesis this implant can be used for medial replacement even when PFJ-OA changes are present.\textsuperscript{3} Kang et al\textsuperscript{41} reported in their series of 195 knees that degenerative changes of the patellofemoral joint should not be considered a contraindication for medial Oxford UKA. They did not see significant difference in scores between those patients who had patellofemoral osteoarthritis pre-operatively and those who did not. However, Beard et al\textsuperscript{42} stated that the presence of lateral facet PFJ-OA might negatively influence the outcome of the UKA and that caution in these cases should be observed. We report two patients with symptomatic lateral facet PFJ-OA who were revised to TKA, one with poor and the other with good results. Two of the patients with progression of medial patellofemoral facet degeneration are still doing well after 11.3 and 12.3 years follow-up and we believe that the presence of medial facet PFJ-OA has no influence on the outcome of medial UKA. This report shows that the progression of symptomatic PFJ-OA in medial UKAs is rare and is supported by Weale et al.\textsuperscript{43}

Dislocation of the mobile bearing in the Oxford knee primarily occurs shortly after implantation\textsuperscript{44} as seen in our single case. It was the result of an error producing a mismatch in the extension and flexion gap and malposition of the components. Conversion to a standard condylar type TKA led to good clinical outcome. No revisions were performed due to deep infection, primary polyethylene wear, fracture of the bearing or loosening of the components. In contrast to the present study, the most common reason for revision in a series of 1819 UKAs from the Finnish Arthroplasty Register implanted between 1985 and 2003 as described by Koskinen et al\textsuperscript{33} was aseptic loosening. As reported by others we also conclude that right indication criteria and a meticulous surgical technique are the key factors for success of the arthroplasty.\textsuperscript{45}

When compared with previous studies a low incidence (27.2\%) of radiolucency was found. Pandit et al\textsuperscript{11} reported radiolucent lines in 70\% of their UKAs (40\% complete and 60\% partial). From our experience we agree with previous authors that these radiolucent lines have no clinical relevance.\textsuperscript{45} Our use of thorough pulsed lavage and a dry surgical field before cementing in the procedures might contribute to the low incidence of radiolucency we found. This is supported by the studies of Faour-Martín et al\textsuperscript{40} and Claríus et al.\textsuperscript{46} However, we acknowledge that the surgeon also undertook the fluoroscopic examination and this might be prone to bias.

Regarding the survival and clinical outcome scores the scores in this report are fairly similar to the scores presented by others. Overall results of medial UKA according to the KSS showed 96\% excellent or good outcome for knees in the report by Faour-Martín et al,\textsuperscript{40} compared with 79\% and 77\% in a report from Pandit et al\textsuperscript{14} and the present study respectively. The mean Oxford Knee Scores were 40 and 42 in Pandit et al’s\textsuperscript{34} series and our series respectively. The mean age in these three reports is 59, 66 and 72 years and mean follow-up 10.4, 10.6 and 11.7 years, respectively. Survival was 96.3\% (ten years), 91\% (15 years) and 90.6 (15 years), respectively. The age and follow-up duration might be factors that explain the differences in outcome scores.

Short-term follow-up results of UKA\textsuperscript{47} demonstrate predictably better results comparable with those of TKA, but longer follow-up data that make this comparison are not yet available. Liddle et al\textsuperscript{12} showed better patient-reported outcomes measures (PROMS) in UKA compared with TKA in the short-term (six months) using data from a large national joint registry. They stated that the higher revision rate in UKAs compared with TKAs might be due to the fact that UKAs can be revised more easily despite possible better functional outcome in the longer term. Difference in revision rates may not be because of differences in functional outcomes alone. Clarification of risk factors for failure still need to be assessed in the near future. With
appropriate patient selection, prosthetic design and surgical technique a trained surgeon can achieve good outcomes in patients with UKA. Patients may experience a rapid recovery after UKA with use of the MIS technique.\(^{18}\)

In conclusion, this independent prospective study showed a high survival rate of the Oxford Phase III UKA performed by a single surgeon with good to excellent outcome scores. The major complication rate was similar to other reports after a minimum of ten years follow-up. In our opinion excellent, durable and reproducible results can be expected for this minimally invasive surgical procedure in the long-term with appropriate case selection. The Oxford Phase III prosthesis has proven to be a reliable implant for patients with anteromedial OA and can be recommended as long as the strict indications for UKA are observed.

**Take home message:** This independent prospective study showed a high survival rate of the unicompartmental knee prosthesis performed by a single surgeon with a low major complication rate and when strict indication criteria are followed, excellent, durable and reliable results can be expected for this minimally invasive surgical procedure in the long-term.
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