

CASE REPORT

Subcutaneous anthrax in three intravenous drug users


From the Dumfries and Galloway Royal Infirmary, Dumfries, United Kingdom

Anthrax is extremely rare in the western world but is endemic to areas of south and central Asia. In early 2010 an outbreak was identified in heroin-injecting intravenous drug users in the United Kingdom and Europe. Afghanistan is currently the principal source of heroin which reaches the United Kingdom. When anthrax occurs, cutaneous disease accounts for over 95% of cases. At least 47 cases with 13 deaths have been confirmed so far. We present three cases presenting during this time with marked swelling, one resulting in compartment syndrome but all with an absence of the expected cutaneous appearances.

We suggest that rather than cutaneous anthrax, these patients represent a new subcutaneous presentation of anthrax.

Naturally acquired anthrax occurs typically in Africa and central and southern Asia.1 It is extremely rare in Western countries. Cutaneous disease accounts for over 95% of cases.2 The name anthrax is derived from the Greek for ‘burnt coal’. The classic presentation progresses from painless vesicles and papules that burst but release no pus and produce a characteristic black eschar,2,3 accompanied by marked swelling (Fig. 1). A minority of patients, if untreated, will develop systemic anthrax which has a considerable mortality rate.1,4

In early 2010 an outbreak of anthrax was identified in heroin-injecting intravenous drug users in the United Kingdom and Europe. At least 47 cases with 13 deaths have been confirmed so far.5 There are only two reports of anthrax being transmitted via injection.6,7 We describe three cases who presented to our hospital during this time with marked swelling but without the expected cutaneous appearances. We suggest that rather than cutaneous anthrax, these patients represent a new subcutaneous presentation. All patients were hepatitis B, C and HIV negative.

Case reports

Case 1. A 44-year-old male intravenous drug user presented with a 48-hour history of increasing pain and swelling of his right arm, ten days after injecting into his antecubital fossa. He had compartment syndrome with a tense forearm, pain on passive movement of his fingers and paraesthesia in his thumb. A small area of erythema distal to the injection site extended laterally toward the olecranon. He was apyrexial. Intravenous benzylpenicillin and flucloxacillin were administered prior to urgent decompression of the forearm and hand compartments with extensive fasciotomies. No necrotic muscle was evident. A thrombosed antecubital vein was tied off and a separate incision made over the olecranon. Free serous fluid was found at both incisions. Pus was not evident but post-operative oozing was considerable. After 48 hours the wounds on the hand, dorsal aspect of the forearm and olecranon were closed. An elastic vascular vessel loop was used to approximate the volar wound with a further return to theatre after 48 hours. Copious serous fluid was present throughout the wound, with marked oedema. Areas of the forearm superficial muscles had sluggish contracture and bled only upon incision (Fig. 2). The blood results are summarised in Table I.

On the fifth day after admission, a preliminary tissue culture was positive for Bacillus anthracis. Until then, gram stain and culture had revealed no organisms, no pus cells and no growth. Intravenous ciprofloxacin, benzylpenicillin and clindamycin were commenced. A national reference laboratory confirmed the identification of the organism on the following day using polymerase chain reaction on tissue from the wound. On the sixth day, three small haemorrhagic areas were evident in the axilla and the chest wall became erythematous and oedematous. Further debridement was neces-
sary, and he was transferred to a supraregional centre for plastic surgery. He remained haemodynamically stable but markedly hypoalbuminaemic. He developed multi-organ failure requiring renal dialysis and ventilator support. He was discharged after 60 days of in-patient care.

**Case 2.** A 36-year-old male intravenous drug user was referred from police custody with a swollen left forearm and hand having injected heroin into his antecubital fossa and forearm on the previous three days. He had no cutaneous stigmata of anthrax and was apyrexial. Finger movements were painful and restricted. There was considerable swelling but no compartment syndrome. A central line was established, blood cultures obtained and he was given intravenous ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, benzylpenicillin and metronidazole. He underwent pre-emptive fasciotomy of his left hand, carpal tunnel and forearm compartments. All revealed marked free fluid and oedema. Multiple tissue samples were obtained and 48 hours later the hand and dorsal forearm wounds were closed and an elasticated vascular loop used to approximate the volar skin edges which were left open. In subsequent visits to theatre, increasing free fluid was present. There was no pus or necrotic muscle but the wound was left open to allow further inspection. He attended theatre on seven occasions before it was appropriate to close the wound with a split skin graft. There was excellent healing of the wound and gradual improvement in hand function.

Tissue samples tested positive by polymerase chain reaction for *Bacillus anthracis* but gram stain revealed only scanty pus cells and the culture was negative. Throughout the admission he remained apyrexial. His white cell count and CRP never rose above $9.1 \times 10^9/L$ and $9 \text{ mg/L}$ respectively, and sodium and albumin levels remained normal.

**Case 3.** A 32-year-old female intravenous drug user was referred with suspected abscesses in both lower limbs, with spreading cellulitis and oedema that had not responded to two days of oral flucloxacillin. She was apyrexial and systematically well. She had injected into the lateral aspects of both calves almost three weeks previously. An accidental blow to the right side had caused the lesions to become painful. These appeared to be typical injection abscesses, but debridement revealed a central necrotic plug surrounded by discoloured, liquefying fat. Within 24 hours, the diagnosis of anthrax was confirmed on four tissue samples by polymerase chain reaction. Meanwhile, it was noted that the dorsal aspect of both hands was swollen. This did not restrict movement and was not associated with
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**Table I.** Case 1: biochemistry and haematology results during the initial six days after presentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day after admission</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mmol/L)</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (μmol/L)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCC (× 10^9/L)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/L)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK (IU/L)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>868</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* WCC, white cell count
† CK, creatine kinase
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Fig. 1
Photograph showing a lesion on the abdominal wall with the characteristic features of cutaneous anthrax. The patient was an intravenous drug user and denied injecting at this site. The details of this patient are not reported here.

Fig. 2a
Case 1: photographs on the third visit to theatre showing increased swelling, serous discharge and oedema. The tension in the vascular loops is obvious.

Fig. 2b
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modern veterinary practices have made this unlikely. It is, by contact with infected animals and their products, and active bacteria.

Bacillus anthracis produces two toxins, the oedema factor predominating in early infection, and the lethal factor emerging later.\(^7\) This case also records a lack of typical cutaneous manifestations, a delayed diagnosis and massive oedema. The patient eventually died from anthrax meningitis. There is also a report from India which first described transmission by injection.\(^6\) A 55-year-old female received an injection of antibiotic into her right arm. After 24 hours, she developed localised swelling and tenderness and subsequently extensive swelling affecting the whole of the right upper limb, chest wall and neck. The injection site was incised, producing haemorrhagic, gelatious material but not pus. Culture eventually revealed Bacillus anthracis. The authors speculated that as the patient came from an area endemic for anthrax, perhaps the skin was contaminated with spores which were inoculated into the subcutaneous layer at the time of injection.

The typical cutaneous manifestations of naturally acquired anthrax are well documented. In a review of 32 cases, Oncül et al\(^9\) recorded swelling, redness and eschar formation in all patients. Examples of recent images following the 2001 postal outbreak in the United States and from this episode involving intravenous drug users in the United Kingdom showed the formation of vesicles and erythaema surrounding cutaneous lesions.\(^10,11\) The role of surgical as opposed to medical management in this current outbreak is difficult to define. Compartment syndrome requires fasciotomy and the tissue samples from theatre were the only positive results in two of the three patients, whether by polymerase chain reaction or tissue culture analysis.

In conclusion, we report the first documented case of subcutaneous anthrax presenting as compartment syndrome. All of our cases occurred in heroin-injecting drug users. We suggest that swelling, serous discharge and oedema should be considered as potential signs of subcutaneous anthrax in intravenous drug users and the use of polymerase chain reaction testing to facilitate diagnosis. We believe that this cluster of symptoms, signs, laboratory and theatre findings may be characteristic of early infection with Bacillus anthracis acquired by subcutaneous or intravenous inoculation. It may therefore represent a new form of subcutaneous Bacillus anthracis infection without the typical cutaneous features.
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