Is the frog lateral plain radiograph a reliable predictor of the alpha angle in femoroacetabular impingement?
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The radiological evaluation of the anterolateral femoral head is an essential tool for the assessment of the cam type of femoroacetabular impingement. CT, MRI and frog lateral plain radiographs have all been suggested as imaging options for this type of lesion. The alpha angle is accepted as a reliable indicator of the cam type of impingement and may also be used as an assessment for the successful operative correction of the cam lesion.

We studied the alpha angles of 32 consecutive patients with femoroacetabular impingement. The angle measured on frog lateral radiographs using templating tools was compared with that measured on CT scans in order to assess the reliability of the frog lateral view in analysing the alpha angle in cam impingement.

A high interobserver reliability was noted for the assessment of the alpha angle on the frog lateral view with an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.83. The mean alpha angle measured on the frog lateral view was 58.71° (32° to 83.3°) and that by CT was 65.11° (30° to 102°). A poor intraclass correlation coefficient (0.08) was noted between the measurements using the two systems.

The frog lateral plain radiograph is not reliable for measuring the alpha angle. Various factors may be responsible for this such as the projection of the radiograph, the positioning of the patient and the quality of the image. CT may be necessary for accurate measurement of the alpha angle.

Femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) may cause early osteoarthritis of the hip.¹⁶ The damage to the articular cartilage is caused by two forms of impingement, cam and pincer or a combination of both.¹,² In cam FAI there is an aspherical cartilage-bearing area at the femoral head-neck junction which is the result of a relative prominence of the head or a reduced head-neck offset at the anterolateral head-neck junction. By contrast pincer FAI is due to excessive cover of the femoral head.⁷ The three methods of assessing this disorder are conventional plain radiographs, MRI and CT. Most studies have relied on imaging rather than on clinical evaluation. Beaulé et al⁸ concluded that the femoral-head concavity can be measured accurately by CT. The bony outlines can be identified clearly on plain radiographs and the frog lateral view allows accurate visualisation of the femoral head offset⁹ while MRI can be used to investigate labral and chondral lesions.¹⁰⁻¹² A recent study by Pfirrmann et al¹³ showed that radial slices are required to identify the asphericity of the head-neck junction and to establish the diagnosis of cam FAI in modest deformities which cannot be seen on plain radiographs.

The alpha angle as a measurement of the sphericity of the femoral head is a reliable indicator of cam FAI¹⁴ and it may be used in association with the triangular index.¹⁵ The alpha angle may also be used to assess operative correction of the cam lesion.

Our aim was to assess the reliability of the measurement of the alpha angle using frog lateral plain radiographs as a simple radiological tool for the diagnosis of FAI.

Patients and Methods
We reviewed the radiographs and CT scans of a consecutive series of 32 patients between February 2007 and August 2007 treated for cam-type FAI. All the patients (32 hips) had been treated by arthroscopy and osteoplasty of the hip with or without debridement of a labral tear, by a single surgeon (FSH). The diagnosis of FAI was based on the clinical history, physical examination, radiological imaging and hip arthroscopy. All the patients had pain in the groin, a positive impingement test in which pain was elicited on passive flexion, adduction, and internal rotation of the hip and radiological evidence of an abnormal prominence or reduced offset at the femoral head-neck junction.
There were 14 women and 18 men with a mean age of 32 years (22 to 46). All had standard radiographs including an anteroposterior (AP) view of the pelvis and a frog-lateral view of the affected hip. For both views, the x-ray tube was positioned approximately 100 cm from the frontal plane of the film cassette.

A standardised technique was used for radiography. For the AP view, patients were instructed to stand upright with both feet one shoulder’s width apart with the knees facing forwards. The x-ray beam was centred on the symphysis pubis in the vertical midline and the field included both iliac crests.

For the frog lateral view the patient was positioned supine on the x-ray table and the ipsilateral knee was flexed so that the foot contacted the contralateral knee. The thigh was abducted and externally rotated while ensuring that the plane of the pelvis was parallel to the plane of the table. The x-ray beam was directed anterior to posterior and centred on the femoral head.

One independent observer (SK) made all the radiological measurements. In order to establish reliability two observers independently reviewed ten radiographs. The first reviewer also made measurements on two separate occasions, with the films provided in a random order and four weeks apart, in order to assess intraobserver reliability. Two measurements were made on each view, namely, the sphericity of the femoral head and the alpha angle.

All the measurements were made on digitally stored images on a Picture Archiving and Communications System (PACS) using the Impax orthopaedic templating system (Agfa HealthCare system, Mortsel, Belgium). The sphericity and the centre of the femoral head were determined using a circular template interpolated from three reference points on the femoral head. If an anterolateral prominence was seen at the head-neck junction outside the circle and extended in a convex shape to the base of the neck, it was considered to be aspherical.

The alpha angle was measured according to the method described by Nötzli et al. A line was drawn through the longitudinal axis of the femoral neck between the centre of the femoral head and the centre of the femoral neck at its narrowest point. Next, the anterior extent of the concavity of the femoral neck was determined using the circular reference described above. A point was marked where the radius of curvature of the femoral head first deviated from the circular template. A straight line was connected from this point to the centre of the femoral head. The angle measured between this line and the longitudinal axis of the femoral neck represented the alpha angle.

The intra- and interobserver reliabilities for the measurements of the alpha angle were assessed using the intraclass correlation coefficient which was interpreted using the following thresholds: < 0.40, slight agreement; 0.41 to 0.70, moderate agreement; and > 0.70 good agreement.

The kappa coefficient was used for gauging the reliability of the sphericity of the head applying the same thresholds of agreement used for the intraclass correlation coefficient.

The alpha angle measured on the plain radiographs was then compared with that calculated using three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction CT. Hips were scanned with the patients supine by a helical technique with collimation of 3 mm and a reconstruction interval of 2 mm using a Siemens Sensation 64 high-speed CT scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). The 3D reconstructions were performed on the Siemens Sensation 64 graphics workstation.

The CT scans were also studied for inter- and intraobserver variability using similar methods as used for the plain radiographs. All the recordings established a high reliability.
The mean alpha angle measured on a frog lateral view was 58.71° (32° to 83.3°). The mean alpha angle measured on a CT scan was 65.11° (30° to 102°). The difference between the alpha angle measured on a plain radiograph and on a CT scan was within 5° in 11 (33%) cases, between 5° to 10° in nine (29%) cases and > 10° in 12 (38%). Plain radiographs overestimated the alpha angle in 18 (57%) of cases and underestimated it in 14 (43%). There was no significant correlation between measurement of the alpha angle by CT or by radiography (paired t-test, p = 0.67), suggesting that there was no evidence of bias.

The Bland-Altman plot (Fig. 3) illustrated a wide variation between the measurement of the alpha angle made on plain radiographs. The 95% limits of agreement between measurements by CT and by plain radiographs ranged from -42.25° to 24.54° (British Standards Reproducibility coefficient 34.08).

A poor intraclass correlation coefficient (0.08, 95% CI -0.35 to 0.47) was noted between measurement of the alpha angle using the two imaging systems.

Discussion

An accurate radiological evaluation is critical for diagnosis and surgical decision-making in FAI. Beall et al17 summarised the imaging abnormalities using three different methods in FAI. In conventional radiography, AP and lateral views are used. On the AP view the most characteristic feature of cam FAI is the bony abnormality which has been described as a pistol-grip deformity.17 Head-neck offset is delineated by lateral views. Clohisy et al9 compared three radiological techniques (frog lateral, cross-table lateral and AP) and concluded that the frog lateral radiograph was the best predictor of femoral head-neck offset in patients with FAI. Plain radiographs can easily detect bony deformities and synovial herniation pits which are indicative of FAI.17 This is seen in cam FAI at the anterosuperior position and in pincer lesions at the posteroinferior position.13 MRI is useful for detecting labral and chondral damage.13,20 Labral lesions are associated with pincer FAI,13 but cartilage damage may also result from FAI.17 This is seen in cam FAI at the anterosuperior position and in pincer lesions at the posteroinferior position.13 MRI is useful for determining the alpha angle and can be used to measure the sphericity of the femoral head as well as to determine the head-neck ratio.14 Nörtzli et al14 used MRI to measure the alpha angle in 39 patients and 35 control subjects reporting

In order to simplify the presentation of results, the difference between the alpha angles measured on plain radiographs and CT scans was arbitrarily divided into three groups as follows: < 5° difference; 5° to 10° difference and greater than 10° difference.

**Statistical analysis.** The reproducibility of the alpha angles measured on plain radiography and 3D CT was statistically analysed using the intraclass correlation coefficient. A paired t-test was performed to assess bias in the reliability studies comparing the measurement of the alpha angle by CT and plain radiography. A p-value of ≤ 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

To illustrate the difference in the measurement of the alpha angle using the two methods, a Bland-Altman plot of the difference (CT to radiograph) between measurement of the alpha angle was plotted against the mean.

**Results**

The kappa analysis of reliability for assessing the sphericity of the femoral head on a frog lateral plain radiograph showed moderate agreement with a coefficient of 0.57 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.48 to 0.71).

A high interobserver reliability was noted for the assessment of the alpha angle on a frog lateral view with an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.83 (95% CI 0.69 to 0.89). The intraobserver reliability was also noted to be high with an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.88 (95% CI 0.71 to 0.9). The Bland-Altman plot of inter- and intraobserver reliability, respectively, of measurements of the alpha angle made on plain radiographs is shown in Figures 1 and 2. The 95% limits of agreement of the interobserver reliability on a plain radiograph ranged from -14.48 to 9.38 (British Standards Reproducibility coefficient 12.17) and those of the intraobserver reliability on a plain radiograph from -12.56 to 6.69 (British Standards Reproducibility coefficient 9.8). From the graphs it is noted than there is a poorer agreement for smaller measurements suggesting possible bias.
mean values of 74° and 42°, respectively. It was concluded that all patients with impingement had an alpha angle of more than 55°.14 This could have been due to a wide femoral neck, osteophytes, abnormality of growth or posterior displacement of the femoral head.7,21 Leunig et al5 compared patients affected with FAI with those who had developmental dysplasia and showed that MRI could distinguish these two disorders.10 James et al11 compared the MRI findings with the surgical outcome. All labral tears diagnosed by MRI were confirmed surgically and 92% of labral lesions and 89% to 94% of acetabular chondral lesions noted intra-operatively were diagnosed correctly by pre-operative MRI. By contrast, Schmid et al20 reported that MRI imaging was not effective because of the relatively thin cartilage. Recently, it has been reported that the extent of cartilage damage noted per-operatively was greater than that seen on MRI. Newer imaging techniques for cartilage such as delayed gadolinium-enhanced MRI may give more diagnostic accuracy.22

CT is a good diagnostic tool since it allows the measurement of the alpha angle and head-neck ratio to be made. Philippon et al23 reported that CT was useful in the pre-operative mapping of the acetabular rim and the head-neck junction. Beaulé et al8 compared the alpha angle using 3D CT and found this to be an accurate tool for quantifying head-neck concavity.

We conclude that the ideal imaging modality for the diagnosis of FAI is debatable. Plain radiography is cost-effective and readily available. It is generally used as the screening tool. However, variations in radiological technique, projection of the x-ray beam, and patient characteristics can influence its accuracy. Imaging by CT may be essential for the accurate pre-operative and post-operative measurement of cam lesions.
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