Correlation of maximum flexion with clinical outcome after total knee replacement in Asian patients
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This study aimed to determine the correlation between the amount of maximum flexion and the clinical outcome in 207 Koreans (333 knees) undergoing total knee replacement. The association of maximum flexion with clinical outcome was evaluated one year post-operatively using three scoring systems; the American Knee Society score, Western Ontario McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis index and the Short Form-36. The mean maximum flexion decreased post-operatively at 12 months from 140.1˚ (60˚ to 160˚) to 133.0˚ (105˚ to 150˚). Only the social function score of the Short Form-36 correlated significantly with maximum flexion (correlation coefficient = 0.180, p = 0.039). In comparative analyses of subgroups divided by a maximum flexion of 120˚, we found no significant differences in any parameters except the social function score of the Short Form-36 (41.9 vs 47.3, p = 0.031). Knees with a maximum flexion of more than 135˚ had a better functional Western Ontario McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis index score than knees with maximum flexion of 135˚ or less (17.5 vs 14.3, p = 0.031). We found only weak correlation between the post-operative maximum flexion and the clinical parameters for pain relief, function and quality of life, even in Korean patients. Efforts to increase post-operative maximum flexion should be exercised with caution until concerns relating to high-flexion activities are sufficiently resolved.

Maximum flexion is widely used as an outcome measure after total knee replacement (TKR), and is a major component of many scoring systems.1,2 Values around 110˚ are sufficient to perform most daily activities, including walking, climbing stairs and rising from a chair.3-5 In most current reports, maximum flexion is greater than in earlier series.6-14 Despite this improvement, which typically ranges between 100˚ and 125˚, activities requiring flexion beyond 120˚ are often impossible after TKR.4,5,7,15-17

With the success of current implants, the expectations of patients and surgeons for improved function after TKR have increased dramatically.4,5,9,14,18-20 Full flexion is often necessary in Asian culture to perform key routine activities such as kneeling, squatting and sitting with both legs crossed, in religious groups and in Western leisure activities such as gardening and bathing.5,18,21 In response to such demand, efforts have been made to achieve greater flexion after TKR.9,13,14,18,20,22 Whether this brings real benefit, however, is a cause for debate.13,14 Some reports of current TKR systems which provide greater flexion and better kinematic patterns suggest that activities requiring full flexion would be possible without threatening survival of the implant.9,20,23,24 Conversely, others have found no significant clinical advantages with high-flexion designs.13,14

It is reasonable to believe that greater flexion after TKR brings a better clinical outcome. However, there are few studies which investigate the correlation between maximum flexion and clinical outcome,12,25 and, particularly, none in Asians.21 We therefore undertook such a study with the hypothesis that the post-operative maximum flexion would correlate with clinical outcome in Korean patients, who frequently perform high-flexion activities.

Patients and Methods
We evaluated 350 TKRs performed in 220 consecutive osteoarthritic patients between November 2003 and February 2005. Six patients (seven knees) were excluded: three patients (three knees) had peri-prosthetic infection, one patient (two knees) died in circumstances unrelated to surgery, one patient (one knee) had a cerebrovascular accident and one patient (one knee) had severe spinal problems. A further seven patients (ten knees) were
excluded as they did not attend the clinic. Consequently, 333 knees (207 patients) which were followed up beyond 12 months were included. A total of 81 TKRs were unilateral and 126 were bilateral, with an interval of between one and three weeks (typically two) between operations. There were 196 women and 11 men with a mean age of 68.7 years (54 to 87). The mean height and weight were 151.6 cm (138 to 174) and 60.4 kg (36 to 83). The mean body mass index (BMI) was 26.2 kg/m² (18.0 to 37.0). All patients gave informed consent to participate in the study.

Pre-operative information was gathered three days before surgery by an independent investigator (YGK), who recorded the range of movement (ROM), flexion contracture and the maximum flexion, and collected data from questionnaires. The pre-operative evaluation included the demography, diagnosis, medical history and physical examination, including the ROM, and collateral and anteroposterior stress tests. The passive, non-weight-bearing ROM was measured to the nearest 5° by a goniometer, with the patient supine. Patients were also evaluated by the knee and function scores of the American Knee Society (AKS),¹ Western Ontario McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC)²⁶ and the Short Form-36 (SF-36).²⁷

All operations were performed by a single surgeon (TKK). A total of 172 knees were implanted with E-motion (FP type, Aesculap, Tuttingen, Germany) and 161 with Genesis II (CR type in 112 knees and PS type in 49; Smith & Nephew, Memphis, Tennessee) arthroplasties. All operations used a medial parapatellar arthrotomy, and for 101 TKRs with the E-motion, computer-assisted navigation was used (Orthopilot 4.0, Aesculap, Germany). The other 71 TKRs with the E-motion and 161 with Genesis II, used conventional intramedullary guides for the femur and extramedullary guides for the tibia. Patellar resurfacing was routine and all implants were fixed with cement.

The patients remained in hospital for two weeks, undergoing a standard rehabilitation programme, commencing on the first post-operative day with continuous passive movement. On the third day, they attended the rehabilitation department for training with crutches or walking aids. Between the fourth and the 14th days they had daily physiotherapy. Progression to maximum flexion was recorded with the goal of achieving 110° of flexion without a flexion contracture by discharge.

Post-operative assessment was carried out by the same investigator (YGK) at three, six and 12 months, and yearly thereafter. Evaluations included ROM, AKS, WOMAC and SF-36 scores. In bilateral cases, AKS and WOMAC scores were measured separately for each knee.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows (version 12.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois) with a p-value < 0.05 considered significant. The relationship between maximum flexion and the clinical scores was investigated by correlation analysis. In bilateral cases, the lesser maximum flexion was used in the analyses for SF-36. In order to adjust the effects of confounding factors, maximum flexion was analysed for its association with age, gender, weight, height, BMI, category of AKS (A, unilateral or bilateral with opposite knee successfully replaced; B, unilateral with other knee symptomatic; C, multiple arthritis or medical infirmity) and type of implant. The final analyses were performed with the partial correlation test as the possible confounders were controlled. This was on the basis of the correlation coefficient (CC), being almost perfect (0.81 to 1.00), excellent (0.61 to 0.80), good or moderate (0.41 to 0.60), fair (0.21 to 0.40) or slight (0.00 to 0.20).²⁸

In order to determine whether knees with maximum flexion allowing full-flexion activities had a better clinical outcome, comparative analyses between two pairs of the groups divided by the maximum flexion of 120° and 135° were carried out using Student’s t-test. Possible confounding factors (gender, age, height, weight, BMI, type of implant, pre-operative scores of AKS, WOMAC, and SF-36, unilateral or bilateral TKR, and patient category by AKS) were compared using the chi-squared test or Student’s t-test. For those factors showing significant differences or statistical tendency (p < 0.1), we carried out adjustment by the analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) for the numerical variables, and subgroup analyses for the categorical variables.

Results

The mean ROM (flexion contracture to maximum flexion) was 128.1° (45° to 160°) pre-operatively and improved to 130.6° (100° to 150°) at six months and 132.9° (105° to 150°) at 12 months post-operatively. In contrast, the mean maximum flexion decreased from 140.1° (60° to 160°) pre-operatively to 133.0° (105° to 150°) at 12 months post-operatively. Most of the clinical parameters improved significantly with time (Table I).

In the preliminary search for confounding factors for correlation analyses, the weight, BMI and type of implant (mean maximum flexion: Genesis II CR < E-motion < Genesis II PS) were associated with the maximum flexion. The subsequent correlation analyses were performed with these variables adjusted. Correlation analyses of the maximum flexion and pre-operative and clinical parameters revealed that only AKS had significant correlation (CC = 0.181, p = 0.006). In the analyses of the parameters 12 months post-operatively, only the social functioning score of SF-36 had significant correlation (p = 0.039), but the strength of that correlation was slight (CC = 0.180). The knee score of AKS and the function score of WOMAC showed a slight correlation with statistical tendency (CC = 0.121, p = 0.077 and CC = -0.129, p = 0.059, respectively). No other parameters correlated significantly with the post-operative maximum flexion.

To determine whether knees with maximum flexion allowing high-flexion activities had a better clinical outcome, comparisons were made between two pairs of the groups divided by the maximum flexion of 120° and 135°. The proportions of the knees with maximum flexion > 120°
were 89.8% (299 knees) pre-operatively and 83.8% (279 knees) 12 months after surgery. The proportions of knees with maximum flexion of 135˚ were 69.4% (231 knees) pre-operatively and 38.7% (129 knees) post-operatively. To ensure that the groups were large enough, we performed a power calculation using the probability of a type 1 error as 0.05 and a power of 0.8. We found that all the groups had sufficient cases to detect a 10% difference in each parameter, and we assumed this to be clinically significant.

In the comparisons of pre-operative parameters between the subgroups divided by the maximum flexion of 120˚, there were no significant differences between the two. In the comparisons of the post-operative parameters, only the social functioning score of SF-36 had a significant difference with statistical tendency (69.9 vs 71.3, p = 0.06). There were no significant differences in the SF-36 parameters. Comparisons to find confounders revealed that three factors (implant type, weight and BMI) differed significantly between the groups. The ANCOVA adjustment for weight and BMI showed no significant effects on these results. In the subgroup analyses of the three implants (Emotion, Genesis II - CR, Genesis II - PS), all showed similar findings. The difference in function score of WOMAC was greatest in Genesis II CR (21.0 vs 13.6, p = 0.048), followed by Genesis II PS (15.5 vs 12.0, p = 0.207) and E-motion (16.5 vs 16.4, p = 0.986).

**Discussion**

The findings in this study do not support our hypothesis that the post-operative degree of maximum flexion would correlate well with the clinical parameters of established scoring systems for TKR in Korean patients.

We were able to find only two previous studies focusing on the association between maximum flexion and clinical outcome after TKR. Maximum flexion < 70˚ is associated with poor walking ability and more than 110˚ relates to good walking ability. In another study, patients with maximum flexion < 95˚ had worse WOMAC function scores than those who had maximum flexion above 95˚. However, neither study correlated outcome with high-flexion knees (> 135˚).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (maximum score)</th>
<th>Pre-operative</th>
<th>Post-operative 3 months</th>
<th>Post-operative 6 months</th>
<th>Post-operative 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AKS (50)</td>
<td>22.7 (6.1)</td>
<td>46.1 (3.9)</td>
<td>46.7 (4.7)</td>
<td>47.9 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee score (100)</td>
<td>46.6 (9.8)</td>
<td>93.3 (9.2)</td>
<td>94.0 (6.8)</td>
<td>95.2 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function score (100)</td>
<td>53.4 (10.8)</td>
<td>78.0 (15.9)</td>
<td>86.9 (14.0)</td>
<td>94.4 (9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC (20)</td>
<td>11.5 (4.3)</td>
<td>5.4 (4.1)</td>
<td>3.5 (3.6)</td>
<td>2.2 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness (8)</td>
<td>4.7 (2.1)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.7)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.7)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function (88)</td>
<td>40.8 (12.4)</td>
<td>26.9 (12.7)</td>
<td>20.2 (12.7)</td>
<td>16.2 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>24.0 (7.0)</td>
<td>33.3 (9.5)</td>
<td>36.4 (8.9)</td>
<td>40.4 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role physical</td>
<td>29.0 (8.1)</td>
<td>35.3 (8.7)</td>
<td>38.2 (11.5)</td>
<td>43.0 (11.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily pain</td>
<td>29.2 (7.4)</td>
<td>39.4 (8.7)</td>
<td>45.6 (10.0)</td>
<td>48.1 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td>40.8 (9.0)</td>
<td>43.0 (8.1)</td>
<td>42.9 (8.0)</td>
<td>44.4 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>39.2 (8.6)</td>
<td>42.7 (9.1)</td>
<td>44.7 (9.8)</td>
<td>46.2 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>33.9 (11.2)</td>
<td>37.9 (11.3)</td>
<td>43.7 (11.7)</td>
<td>46.4 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role emotion</td>
<td>30.8 (12.7)</td>
<td>33.8 (13.5)</td>
<td>38.3 (14.1)</td>
<td>45.4 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>40.0 (11.6)</td>
<td>43.7 (11.0)</td>
<td>47.1 (11.7)</td>
<td>48.4 (11.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* statistical significance (p < 0.05) was found in all changes between adjacent evaluation time points except for the pain score (from three to six months post-operatively, p = 0.059) and knee score (from three to six months post-operatively, p = 0.031) of the AKS and the general health scale (from three to six months post-operatively, p = 0.778 and from six to 12 months post-operatively, p = 0.119), vitality scale (from three to six months post-operatively, p = 0.082) and mental health scale (from six to 12 months post-operatively, p = 0.304) of the SF-36.

1 WOMAC can have a total score of best (0) to worst (96).
In Western subjects, maximum flexion typically ranges from 100° to 125°, the proportion of patients with maximum flexion > 120° is not high6-12 and their lifestyles do not require high flexion.3,4 Therefore, it may be difficult to perform a study to investigate the correlation of the amount of maximum flexion with clinical outcome in the knees, with maximum flexion in a high flexion range.

In this study, the parameters of three established scoring systems for the knee joint or quality of life were adopted to evaluate the correlation between maximum flexion and clinical outcome. The AKS (physician scoring system) and WOMAC (patient driven) are for joint-specific evaluation and the SF-36 assesses the overall quality of life. Each system therefore has its own characteristics. In the current study, the function score of WOMAC was significantly better for knees with maximum flexion of 135° (p = 0.031). In contrast, the function score of AKS and the corresponding scores of SF-36 (physical function and role physical) did not show any significant correlations or differences in the comparative analyses for either the 120° or the 135° subgroups. These findings suggest that maximum flexion beyond a certain range would not make a significant difference to routine daily activities, but would make subtle differences detectable only by the subjective and patient-driven WOMAC system.

Several limitations should be noted in the current study. First, the implants are different from the current high-flexion systems. Therefore, it might be inappropriate to extrapolate our findings to include such systems. Although a previous study negated the advantages of high-flexion systems. Therefore, it might be inappropriate to extrapolate our findings to include such systems. Although that our subjects would represent the patient population in Asian culture. However, neither evaluation of socio-religious factors nor consideration of individual variations in living style were undertaken and thirdly, the gender of our subjects was not considered. Female dominance is remarkable in Korean patients undergoing TKR13,29 and 94.7% of our patients were women. This should be considered if our findings are to be extrapolated to a population with a different gender composition. Fourthly, the correlation between post-operative ROM and clinical parameters was analysed 12 months post-operatively, when it is possible that further changes might occur with longer follow-up. However, previous studies report that the ROM and clinical outcomes reach a plateau around one year after surgery, with few clinically significant changes thereafter.11,13,30 and our longitudinal analyses confirmed that ROM and the clinical parameters reached a plateau at 12 months post-operatively. Nonetheless, the limitations of the parameters used in the current study should also be recognised. We used passive non-weight bearing ROM and the clinical parameters of AKS, WOMAC and SF-36. Notwithstanding their frequent use, they may not be sensitive enough to detect subtle differences by increased maximum flexion after surgery. Previous studies report the disparity between the active weight-bearing ROM and passive, non-weight bearing ROM.7,10,17 The patient’s appreciation might be different from that which is evaluated by outcome scores, particularly in the case of Asians, who require frequent high-flexion activities.4,5,31 Finally, although we used statistical adjustments to control the effects of many confounding factors, further prospective studies excluding such factors are warranted.

In conclusion, we found that post-operative maximum flexion correlated weakly with a few parameters of clinical outcome, and a few differences were found in the overall quality of life of our patients. These findings may indicate that efforts to increase maximum flexion would not bring enough benefit for Asian patients. Therefore, as high-flexion activities might jeopardise implant survival, we suggest that efforts to increase post-operative maximum flexion should be made with caution until concerns relating to high-flexion activities are sufficiently resolved.
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