Pre-operative clinical and radiological assessment of the patellofemoral joint in unicompartmental knee replacement and its influence on outcome
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Anterior knee pain and/or radiological evidence of degeneration of the patellofemoral joint are considered to be contraindications to unicompartmental knee replacement. The aim of this study was to determine whether this is the case.

Between January 2000 and September 2003, in 100 knees (91 patients) in which Oxford unicompartmental knee replacements were undertaken for anteromedial osteoarthritis, pre-operative anterior knee pain and the radiological status of the patellofemoral joint were defined using the Altman and Ahlback systems. Outcome was evaluated at two years with the Oxford knee score and the American Knee Society score.

Pre-operatively 54 knees (54%) had anterior knee pain. The clinical outcome was independent of the presence or absence of pre-operative anterior knee pain. Degenerative changes of the patellofemoral joint were seen in 54 patients (54%) on the skyline radiographs, including ten knees (10%) with joint space obliteration. Patients with medial patellofemoral degeneration had a similar outcome to those without. For some outcome measures patients with lateral patellofemoral degeneration had a worse score than those without, but these patients still had a good outcome, with a mean Oxford knee score of 37.6 (SD 9.5). These results show that neither anterior knee pain nor radiologically-demonstrated medial patellofemoral joint degeneration should be considered a contraindication to Oxford unicompartmental knee replacement. With lateral patellofemoral degeneration the situation is less well defined and caution should be observed.
between January 2000 and September 2003. This cohort represents a subset of our main UKR study group reported separately and includes those patients who underwent more detailed questioning about their pain and also had skyline radiographs taken. A complete set of data was available two years post-operatively. The mean age of the patients at the time of surgery was 69 years (53 to 89). There were 46 women and 45 men, who underwent 82 unilateral and 9 staged bilateral procedures. All patients had anteromedial osteoarthritis (OA) and did not contravene the recommended contra-indications for the procedure which include failed upper tibial osteotomy, insufficiency of the collateral or anterior cruciate ligaments, a fixed varus deformity (not passively correctable) of greater than 15° and a flexion deformity of greater than 15°. The presence of anterior knee pain and radiological evidence of degenerative change at the patellofemoral joint were not considered to be contraindications. The only exception occurred if a patient was found intra-operatively to have severe lateral OA of the patellofemoral joint with bone loss, grooving and erosion, in which case a total knee replacement (TKR) was performed. The standard minimally-invasive surgical technique was used, with an incision from the medial pole of the patella to the tibial tuberosity, and without patellar dislocation.

Data were collected prospectively with all patients assessed pre-operatively using the American Knee Society score (AKSS) and Oxford knee score (OKS). The OKS was scored from 0 to 48, where 48 is the best possible outcome. Patients were also asked to specify whether their knee pain was medial, anterior, lateral, or generalised. The radiological assessment included a skyline view taken with the knee flexed at approximately 30°. Both medial and lateral aspects of the patellofemoral joint were assessed to determine the prevalence of degenerative changes.

The radiological assessment was performed by an independent radiologist (SO). The radiographs were scored for severity of arthritis using the Ahlback grading and Altman scoring system. The Ahlback grading measures the severity of cartilage and bone loss: 0, normal, I, joint space narrowing, II, joint space obliteration, III, bone destruction < 5 mm, IV, bone destruction > 5 mm. We also assessed whether the joint was subluxed or not (grade V). The Altman score assesses a variety of arthritic characteristics, including osteophytosis, joint space narrowing, subchondral sclerosis and bone destruction. Each variable is scored from 0 to 3, with a higher score indicating increasing severity. Thus the best score possible is 0, and the worst is 12.

Patients were assessed clinically two years after UKR by an independent observer (CJ) who was blinded to the radiological findings and the pre- and intra-operative findings. They were asked whether they had pain, and if so, where it was sited, and scoring with the AKSS and OKS was repeated. Particular note was made of question 12 from the OKS scored 0 to 4, which evaluates the ability to walk downstairs and provides further detail regarding the patellofemoral joint.

Data on patients with primary medial compartment OA who had undergone cemented Oxford UKR were used to calculate the sample size. A three-point difference on the OKS is considered clinically relevant, and existing data suggested a standard deviation of seven points. Using Altman’s nomogram, the sample size was calculated to be 85 for a power of 90%, with an α significance level of 0.05.

In order to assess the effect of pre-operative anterior knee pain on the clinical outcome, patients were divided into two groups according to whether or not they had such pain. In order to examine the effect of severity of degeneration of the patellofemoral joint from the radiographs on clinical outcome, both the Ahlback and Altman score were evaluated independently. The Ahlback grade was subdivided according to whether knees had joint space obliteration, with an Ahlback grading II or more, or not, with an Ahlback grading 0 or I. For a more general characterisation of arthritis the Altman score was used. Patients were considered to have no or minor degenerative changes with Altman scores 0 and 1, whereas those with Altman score 2 or more were classified as having degenerative change. Association between the raw Altman score (severity grade) and the outcome was also examined.

The two-year post-operative clinical scores as measured by the absolute OKS, were used as the primary outcome variable throughout, although analysis was also performed on the other outcome scores, including the relative change over time for each variable. Relative change in outcome, or improvement, takes account of the variability in pre-operative functional status. Scores were presented as mean values with standard deviations (SD). Incidence data were reported using frequencies, and two-group Mann-Whitney tests were performed to test respective hypotheses where data were found to be non-parametric. Independent t-tests were performed on parametric normally distributed data. Both Spearman’s and Pearson’s correlation coefficients were calculated, depending on the level of data, and scatterplots were used to examine any associations. Statistical tests were carried out using SPSS software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois) with statistical significance set at p < 0.05.

**Results**

**Anterior knee pain.** Of 100 knees, 80 (80%) had pre-operative pain localised to the medial aspect of the knee, 54 (54%) had anterior pain, three (3%) had lateral pain, and nine (9%) had generalised pain. The mean pre-operative OKS in the 54 knees with anterior pain was 22.7 (SD 7.5) and was similar to the mean OKS in the 46 patients (46%) without pre-operative anterior knee pain at 24.4 (SD 7.3). Only one patient who had pre-operative anterior knee pain also had anterior knee pain at two years. This patient had minimal degeneration of the patellofemoral joint (Ahlback grade 0, Altman score 1 for each patellofemoral joint location). This patient has subsequently been reviewed at three
years and the pain has settled. No statistically significant difference was found between the groups with or without pre-operative anterior knee pain when the two-year absolute values of clinical outcome, the OKS, AKSS knee, and question 12 (Q12) of the OKS were compared (Table I). Similarly, there was no statistically significant difference in the improvement in function when relative change in outcome was examined between the two groups (Table I).

Grade of degeneration of the patellofemoral joint (Altman). Of 100 knees, 54 (54%) had some evidence of arthritic degeneration of the patellofemoral joint (Altman 2 or more). In 45 knees (45%), medial patellofemoral joint changes were noted, whereas 20 (20%) had lateral changes and both medial and lateral changes were seen in patellofemoral joints in 11 knees (11%).

Two types of analysis were performed to investigate the influence of degeneration of the patellofemoral joint outcome: whether the presence (Altman 2 or more) or absence of OA influenced the outcome, and the association between severity of degeneration (Altman score) and outcome.

There was no statistically significant difference in outcome (all variables) at two years between patients with evidence of degeneration anywhere in the patellofemoral joint and those with normal or nearly normal joints (Fig. 1) (Table II). Consideration was given to whether the patellofemoral joint degeneration was medial or lateral. Patients with medial degeneration of the patellofemoral joint did not have significantly different outcomes from those without patellofemoral joint degeneration for any outcome variable (Table II). In fact, outcome scores for knees with medial patellar degeneration were consistently, albeit not significantly, higher than those without degenerative changes. In contrast, patients with lateral patellar degeneration had statistically significantly lower values for absolute OKS, improvement in OKS and Q12 of the OKS than those with normal joints (Table II). Despite the lower values, the patients with lateral degeneration had good clinical outcome, with a mean OKS of 37.6 (SD 9.5) and mean AKSS score of 90.4 (SD 10.9). They also had a substantial improvement in the mean OKS to 13.1 (SD 8.1).

There was no significant association between outcome determined by the absolute OKS or by the change in OKS and the severity of degeneration assessed by the Altman scoring of the skyline view of the medial patellar facet (Spearman’s correlation coefficient, r = 0.11, p = 0.3 and r = 0.1, p = 0.2 respectively) (Fig. 2a). A similar lack of association was found for the lateral aspect of the patellofemoral joint. Although the plot revealed a slight trend for worse outcome with increased degeneration (Fig. 2b), the correlation was nominal (absolute OKS, r = -0.05 (p = 0.6), change in OKS, r = -0.04 (p = 0.6)) and not significant.

Full-thickness cartilage loss (Ahlback). Of the 100 pre-operative skyline views, six (6%) had obliteration of the medial patellar joint space (Ahlback grade II or more) (Table III). In four knees (4%) the lateral patellar joint space was obliterated. Only one patient had both medial and lateral joint space obliteration. Although the numbers are small, it was surprising to find that the six knees with obliteration of the medial joint space tended to have higher outcome scores at two years than the 94 knees with normal or nearly normal cartilage. This difference was statistically

Table I. Influence of pre-existing anterior knee pain on clinical outcome at two years in 100 knees (OKS, Oxford knee score; AKSS, American Knee Society score; SD, standard deviation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of pre-operative anterior knee pain (n = 54)</th>
<th>Absence of pre-operative anterior knee pain (n = 46)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean OKS (SD)</td>
<td>Mean OKS (SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.9 (6.6)</td>
<td>39.8 (7.7)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean change in OKS (SD)</td>
<td>Mean change in OKS (SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.1 (7.9)</td>
<td>15.3 (8.3)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean OKS question 12 (SD)</td>
<td>Mean OKS question 12 (SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 (0.6)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean AKSS (SD)</td>
<td>Mean AKSS (SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.7 (13.1)</td>
<td>91.0 (9.6)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mann-Whitney U test

---

**Fig. 1**
Outcome in terms of absolute Oxford knee score (OKS) and change in OKS at two years, with or without patellofemoral joint degeneration at any location within the joint, assessed radiologically using Altman scoring in 100 knees. The circles indicate outliers.
significant for the AKSS knee (independent $t$-test, $p = 0.01$) and Q12 of the OKS (independent $t$-test, $p = 0.001$). In contrast, and similarly to the Altman data, knees with obliteration of the lateral joint space tended to have poorer outcomes than those with normal lateral facets, but the effect was only significant for the relative change in OKS (independent $t$-test, $p = 0.03$). Again, despite the slight inferiority in outcome compared with knees without obliteration of the joint space, patients with lateral facet changes still achieved a good final outcome as measured by the OKS and change in OKS.

**Relationship between anterior knee pain and damage of the patellofemoral joint.** The relationship between anterior knee pain and grade of arthritis was examined using descriptive statistics. For each Altman score of degeneration occurring anywhere in the joint, approximately half the patients had pre-operative anterior knee pain and half did not (Fig. 3). A similar pattern existed for both the medial and the lateral patellofemoral joint when examined individually. Not surprisingly, no correlation was found between the presence of pre-operative anterior knee pain and the severity of radiologically-assessed degeneration anywhere in the joint (Spearman’s correlation coefficient, $0.2$ ($p = 0.55$)).

**Discussion**

This study has shown that neither pre-operative anterior knee pain nor radiological changes on the skyline patellar radiograph, with the exception of the lateral facet, compromise the outcome of UKR. There was anterior knee pain in approximately half the cases pre-operatively and, with one exception, the pain was alleviated after UKR. In the patient who had minor patellofemoral degenerative changes, the pain persisted for two years post-operatively and then resolved. In addition, no relationship existed between outcome and pre-operative anterior knee pain for any variable, from which we infer that when assessing the suitability of a patient for UKR anterior knee pain can be disregarded.
The fact that many patients had pre-existing anterior knee pain without evidence of degeneration of the patellofemoral joint and vice versa demonstrates the independence of these two conditions. Previous authors have shown only weak correlation between patellofemoral degenerative changes and anterior knee pain. Accordingly, it should not be assumed that the source of any anterior knee pain in patients undergoing UKR for medial compartment OA is degeneration of the patellofemoral joint. Localisation of pain is difficult, and anterior knee pain is a poorly-defined entity.

We found no evidence that degenerative changes seen pre-operatively on the skyline patellar views in the medial side of the patellofemoral joint compromised the outcome of the UKR; if anything they were associated with an improved outcome. This finding was echoed in our study of intra-operative assessment of the patellofemoral joint which is published in this issue. The reason for the improvement is uncertain, but the explanation for the changes in the medial side of the patellofemoral joint could be that they are a direct result of the medial tibiofemoral arthritis and thus corrected by the operation, or that the changes are a manifestation of ageing, are not associated with symptoms and are of no consequence.

The findings regarding degenerative changes of the lateral patellar facet are more difficult to interpret. We found that knees with lateral facet degeneration had a significantly worse outcome compared with knees without. However, the finding was not mirrored in all outcome measures, and the number of patients with severe lateral facet degeneration was small. A similar pattern and a similar inconsistency across variables was also reflected in our much larger study of intra-operative findings. It is clear that lateral facet degeneration requires extra consideration.

It is important to consider absolute as well as relative outcome. Although the data suggest that patients with lateral patellar degeneration have a worse outcome than those without, it also shows that patients with this pattern of degeneration did not have a poor outcome, with a mean two-year OKS of 37.6, and they did not have significant anterior knee pain. Therefore, lateral facet degeneration should perhaps be considered to be a relative, rather than an absolute, contraindication to UKR.

It is relevant that only a few patients with lateral patellofemoral joint space obliteration were included in this study and there were none with significant lateral patellar bone loss. If at operation significant bone loss with grooving was seen in the lateral patellofemoral joint, then a TKR was implanted rather than a UKR. Therefore, we have no outcome data for UKR implanted with significant lateral patellar bone loss.

### Table III. Influence of joint space obliteration as measured by the Ahlback score on clinical outcome at two years in 100 knees (OKS, Oxford knee score; AKSS, American Knee Society score; SD, standard deviation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Normal (n = 94)</th>
<th>Ahlback ≥ II (n = 6)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
<th>Lateral</th>
<th>Normal (n = 96)</th>
<th>Ahlback ≥ II (n = 4)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean OKS (SD)</td>
<td>40.2 (7.1)</td>
<td>42.3 (6.9)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>40.5 (7.15)</td>
<td>38.0 (8.2)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean change in OKS (SD)</td>
<td>16.8 (8.1)</td>
<td>18.3 (9.5)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>17.1 (8.2)</td>
<td>11.0 (3.6)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean OKS question 12 (SD)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.6)</td>
<td>4.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.001†</td>
<td>3.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>3.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean AKSS knee (SD)</td>
<td>90.4 (11.7)</td>
<td>99.0 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.01†</td>
<td>90.9 (11.5)</td>
<td>89.5 (13.7)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean AKSS function (SD)</td>
<td>87.5 (14.6)</td>
<td>84.0 (18.1)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>87.7 (14.8)</td>
<td>80.0 (8.1)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* independent t-test
† statistical significance showing a superior outcome in affected joints
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**Fig. 3** Relationship between pre-existing anterior knee pain and the presence of any patellofemoral joint arthritis. Frequencies for each category (anterior knee pain present or absent) for each level of skyline radiological changes according to the Altman score is shown for 100 knees.
There is a concern that, even if anterior knee pain or degeneration of the patellofemoral joint on skyline radiographs does not affect the short-term clinical outcome, it might result in long-term failures. However, longer term reports in the literature of the mobile-bearing Oxford UKR, which have followed unchanged indications for surgery, have identified anterior knee pain or patellofemoral joint problems in a minimal number of cases even in those which have a relatively high instance of revision (5% at 15 years). \(^5,^{11-20}\)

In conclusion, there is good evidence that neither preoperative anterior knee pain nor the presence of medial degeneration of the patellofemoral joint, as seen on skyline radiological views, should be a contraindication for medial Oxford UKR. The situation is less clear for lateral patellar changes, and a more cautious approach is advised. If there is lateral patellar bone loss and grooving we would implant a TKR.
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