The outcome of peri-operative humeral condylar fractures after total elbow replacement in patients with rheumatoid arthritis
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We compared the outcome of peri-operative humeral condylar fractures in patients undergoing a Coonrad-Morrey semiconstrained total elbow replacement with that of patients with rheumatoid arthritis undergoing the same procedure without fractures. In a consecutive series of 40 elbows in 33 patients, 13 elbows had a fracture in either condyle peri-operatively, and 27 elbows were intact. The fractured condyle was either fixed internally or excised. We found no statistical difference in the patients’ background, such as age, length of follow-up, immobilisation period, Larsen’s radiological grade, or Steinbrocker’s stage and functional class. There was also no statistical difference between the groups in relation to the Mayo Elbow Performance Score, muscle strength, range of movement, or radiolucency around the implants at a mean of 4.8 years (1.1 to 8.0) follow-up.

We conclude that fractured condyles can be successfully treated with either internal fixation or excision, and cause no harmful effect.

The elbow joint is frequently affected in rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Involvement of the elbow is often progressive and can lead to substantial interference with the activities of daily living. Although endoscopic or open synovectomy is sometimes helpful, total elbow replacement (TER) may be required and has been shown to give satisfactory results with Souter-Strathclyde (Stryker Howmedica Osteonics, Allendale, New Jersey), Kudo (Biomet, Warsaw, Indiana), and Coonrad-Morrey (Zimmer, Warsaw, Indiana)-type implants.

Although the Coonrad-Morrey semiconstrained TER has gained widespread acceptance, complications such as condylar fractures may occur. Peri-articular osteoporosis is common with RA and inevitably makes the bones vulnerable at operation, especially after ‘box cutting’ in the humerus. Asian people often have small bones, and are particularly susceptible to this intra-operative complication because of the size limitation of the implant, even though an extra-small implant was introduced in Japan in 2002.

Repair of the condyles is technically demanding following fracture and nonunion in TER. McKee et al showed that resection of the humeral condyles does not prejudice either strength or functional outcome, and advised that this could be applied to TER carried out for any reason, including RA. However, this is the only study to show the results of this bold technique. It is desirable that the anatomical structures are retained if possible. The patients of McKee et al had either post-traumatic osteoarthritis or nonunion of fractures of the distal humerus, and the outcome may be different in RA.

In order to restore the anatomy, the fracture fragments should be secured by internal fixation if possible. The purpose of our study was to compare the outcome following peri-operative fracture of the condyles with that when they remained intact in an arthroplasty for RA. We used patient-based outcome measures, including the Mayo Elbow Performance Score, manual muscle testing, the range of movement and radiological evaluation. We are not aware of any previous account comparing the results of those with peri-operative condylar fractures and those of intact humeral condyles following semiconstrained TER.

Patients and Methods
This is a retrospective study of a consecutive series of patients who received a Coonrad-Morrey total elbow prosthesis between June 1998 and May 2005. A total of 40 semiconstrained TERs was carried out on 33 patients with RA, by the senior author (TN) or under his supervision. Two patients died and two were lost to follow-up but their evaluation...
There were 32 women and one man, with a mean age of 63 years (38 to 76) at the time of operation. All patients fulfilled the revised criteria of the American College of Rheumatology.

The patients were divided into two groups: those who had a humeral condyle fractured either during, or within two weeks of the operation, and those in whom both condyles were intact. No patient had a condylar fracture more than two weeks after operation. The presence or absence of the fracture was determined radiologically. A fracture was defined as a condyle which had been displaced, removed, or fixed internally. There were 13 elbows with fractures of the condyles (fracture group), and 27 elbows in which they were intact (control group).

Operative technique. The procedure was performed through a Campbell posterior VY approach in 32 cases and a Tsuge posterolateral approach in 8 cases. All patients received prophylactic antibiotics perioperatively and began movement six to 15 days after the operation, regardless of the existence of a fracture. A standard physiotherapy protocol was used.

Assessment. The minimum length of follow-up in both groups was 12 months. The mean follow-up was 4.68 years (1.72 to 7.99) in the fracture group and 4.90 years (1.05 to 7.73) in the control group. Two of the authors who did not perform the operation (HI and TM) performed a blinded physical and radiological evaluation, and recorded the complete history. Anteroposterior and lateral radiographs were assessed based on a previously described method. Progressive radiolucency was classified as none, grade I (< 1 mm involving < 50% of the bone-cement interface), grade II (at least 1 mm wide involving < 50% of the interface), grade III (> 1 mm involving at least 50% of the interface), grade IV (> 2 mm around the entire interface), or grade V (> 2 mm around the entire interface), with movement of the component. The Mayo Elbow Performance Score was used to document the subjective, objective and functional characteristics before and after the operation. All patients underwent manual muscle testing of the biceps and the triceps brachii, graded 0 to 5 using the system of Hoppenfold. Student’s t-test was used to determine differences between the groups. Ratios between the groups were evaluated by Fisher’s exact test with Yate’s correction. Significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

The demographic characteristics of the two groups are presented in Table I. Four further minor procedures were required, one in the fracture group, and three in the control group. In the fracture group a deep infection was treated by open irrigation. In the control group, one triceps insufficiency required reconstruction, one loose bushing was exchanged for a new one and in one patient an ulnar nerve palsy was dealt with by subcutaneous transposition. There was no difference between the two groups with regard to
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The demographic characteristics of the two groups are presented in Table I. Four further minor procedures were required, one in the fracture group, and three in the control group. In the fracture group a deep infection was treated by open irrigation. In the control group, one triceps insufficiency required reconstruction, one loose bushing was exchanged for a new one and in one patient an ulnar nerve palsy was dealt with by subcutaneous transposition. There was no difference between the two groups with regard to
The various problems which may be encountered following TER have been reviewed by Little et al., but condylar fractures are one of the most frequently reported intra-operative complications with a Coonrad-Morrey TER, especially in fragile, osteoporotic patients with RA. Indeed, Gill and Morrey described three condylar fractures in 78 rheumatoid elbows treated with this type of TER (3.8%).

In this study we encountered condylar fractures in 32.5% of patients (13 of 40), a high ratio. One of the most probable causes is that the implant is too large for small Asian people. This is exemplified by the report by Tsurasaki et al. that three of seven patients with RA had a condylar fracture. Table V shows that use of the extra-small humeral component reduced the incidence of fractures by almost half. Still smaller sizes are needed, especially in this group of patients.

If a fracture occurs we fix the fragment internally if possible, or else remove it if it is too small. Our results show that with this management the results following fracture are similar to those in which it did not occur. In only two cases was it necessary to excise the condyle, and the results after operation were similar to those in the other patients. McKee et al. found that excision of both condyles does prejudice elbow function, but extreme caution must be used in adopting this technique. Anatomical restoration is the best treatment if possible.

No benefits in any form have been received or will be received from a commercial party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.
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