Inverted V-shaped high tibial osteotomy compared with closing-wedge high tibial osteotomy for osteoarthritis of the knee

TEN-YEAR FOLLOW-UP RESULT
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We compared the results ten years after an inverted V-shaped high tibial osteotomy with those of a historical series of conventional closing-wedge osteotomies. The closing-wedge series consisted of 56 knees in 51 patients with a mean follow-up of 11 years (10 to 15). The inverted V-shaped osteotomy was evaluated in 48 knees in 43 patients at a mean follow-up of 14 years (10 to 19). All the patients were scored using the Japanese Orthopaedic Association rating scale for osteoarthritis of the knee and radiological assessment.

The pre-operative grade of osteoarthritis was similar in both groups. Post-operatively, the knee function score was graded as satisfactory in 63% (35) of the closing-wedge group but in 89% (43) of the inverted V-shaped osteotomy group. Post-operative radiological examination showed that delayed union and loss of correction occurred more often after a closing-wedge osteotomy than after an inverted V-shaped procedure.

Our study suggests that the inverted V-shaped osteotomy may offer more dependable long-term results than traditional closing-wedge osteotomy.

High tibial osteotomy is an effective treatment for unicompartamental osteoarthritis of the knee. Many studies have reported favourable results at follow-up of ten years.1-10 However, recent studies have shown that this procedure cannot prevent progression of radiological degenerative changes11 and others have indicated that there is a progressive deterioration after ten years.5,7,9,12-17 Factors influencing the long-term results after high tibial osteotomy, such as age, pre-operative deformity of the knee, the extent of degeneration of the articular cartilage and the angle of correction after operation, have been studied over the last two decades.1,4,6,10-13,18-26 Aglietti et al1 stated that undercorrected knees had a high incidence of progression of both further varus angulation and arthropathy. They also reported that the angle of correction was the most significant factor influencing the long-term results.1,11 with overcorrection recommended for high tibial osteotomy.1,11,14 It has been advised that the femorotibial angle of the knee should be corrected to approximately 168°.21 It is necessary for the corrected femorotibial angle which is obtained at operation to be maintained throughout the post-operative period. Closing-wedge osteotomy has been commonly used,1-6,9,10,12-15,19-22,27 but some studies have described a high incidence of delayed union and recurrent varus.3,10,13,28 In 1973, Levy et al29 described an inverted V-shaped osteotomy (the V procedure). No studies have been carried out to evaluate this procedure in comparison with a standard closing-wedge osteotomy.

Our aim was to compare the results after high tibial osteotomy performed as a closing-wedge with those obtained from a V procedure at a minimum follow-up of ten years.

Patients and Methods
We performed a closing-wedge high tibial osteotomy on 86 knees in 78 patients between 1971 and 1977, and a V procedure on 77 knees in 72 patients between 1981 and 1990. The results of the first outcome examination, undertaken in 1990 for patients who had undergone a closing-wedge high tibial osteotomy were compared with those performed in 2002 and 2004 for patients who underwent the V procedure. Patients who had a high tibial osteotomy between 1978 and 1980 were excluded from the study because we carried out a number of different procedures for a small number of patients during this time.

At the first follow-up examination of the patients with a closing-wedge it was found that 12 had died and 14 were lost to follow-up. One was excluded because of a severe comminuted fracture of the femoral condyle. This left 56 knees in 51 patients with a mean follow-up of 11 years (10 to 15). There were 12 men (13
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knees) and 39 women (43 knees) with a mean age at the
time of operation of 59.8 years (47 to 72).

When the patients with the V procedure were examined
14 had died and 11 were lost to follow-up. In addition, four
were excluded because of poor general health or knee
injury. Therefore, 48 knees in 43 patients were reviewed at
a mean follow-up of 14 years (10 to 19). There were 11
men (13 knees) and 32 women (35 knees) with a mean age
at the time of operation of 59.5 years (43 to 75).

Operative techniques. Before operation, the required angle
of correction was determined from a single leg-stance full-
length anteroposterior radiograph. The intention was to
obtain a correction so that the mechanical axis would pass
through the centre of the lateral tibial plateau. The femoro-
tibial angle under this condition was between 165˚ and
168˚.

For the closing-wedge osteotomy, the proximal tibio-
fibular joint was either released or the head of the fibula
was excised. Under fluoroscopic guidance, a Steinmann pin
(AO/ASIF, Switzerland) 5 mm in diameter was inserted per-
cutaneously into the tibia 1 cm distal to and parallel to the
articular surface. Approximately 5 cm distal to the first pin,
another was inserted into the tibial diaphysis so that the
angle created between the two pins corresponded to the
required wedge. A wedged osteotomy was carried out
between the two pins using a power saw or an osteotome,
removing the bone fragment created. The surfaces of the
osteotomy were adjusted until the pins were parallel, after
which the two pins were secured together by an external
fixation device.

For the V procedure, Levy’s technique was modified29
(Fig. 1). Approximately 1 cm to 1.5 cm of the central sec-
tion of the fibula was resected using a small oscillating saw.
Two Steinmann pins were inserted into the tibia as in the
closing-wedge osteotomy. The osteotomy, in the shape of
an inverted ‘V’ of the planned correction angle, was carried
out using a broad osteotome or a power saw under direct
vision. The apex of the V was proximal to the tibial tuber-
osity under the patellar tendon. A wedge of cancellous bone
was resected from the tibia beneath the lateral plateau. This
was followed by an osteotomy beneath the medial plateau,
and valgus correction was performed so that the two Stein-
mann pins became parallel and were then secured using
external fixators. The resected bone block was placed into
the triangular space which had been created beneath the
medial plateau.

In both types of osteotomy the patients were advised to
start active mobilisation of the knee after operation. Gener-
ally, partial weight-bearing was started six weeks after sur-
gery. The external fixator was removed and full weight-
bearing was allowed once union was observed radio-
logically.

Clinical and radiological evaluation. The patients were eval-
uated using the Japanese Orthopaedic Association score
(JOA score)23 (Table I) for osteoarthritis of the knee. This is
used as the standard knee function scale in Japan. Based on
our previous study,23 the results were graded as good for total
JOA scores of 85 to 100 points, fair for 70 to 84 and poor for
69 or less. Patients who had undergone total knee replace-
ment (TKR) after high tibial osteotomy were graded as poor.

For radiological evaluation, the femorotibial angle was
measured and the stage of osteoarthritis was evaluated
using the Hokkaido University stage grading system,23
from the anteroposterior radiographs of the knee in the
single-leg stance position (Table II). Radiological evalua-
tion was performed before, one year after operation and at
the final review.

The length of time until full weight-bearing was allowed,
the time of removal of the external fixator, changes in the
tibial plateau angle during the first-year after operation,
and the incidence of delayed union, where delayed union
was defined as absence of union at four months after oper-
ation, were also compared.

Statistical analysis. This was performed using Student’s
unpaired t-test and the chi-squared test. A p-value < 0.05
was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
The mean pre-operative JOA score was 53.2 (35 to 65) in
the closing-wedge osteotomy series and 57.6 (35 to 70) in
the V procedure group.
The mean pre-operative standing femorotibial angle was 185.4˚ (180˚ to 198˚) for the closing-wedge osteotomy group and 183.4˚ (178˚ to 196˚) in the V procedure group. Pre-operatively, according to the Hokkaido University grading system, the osteoarthritis was stage II in 14 knees (25%), stage III in 31 knees (55%), and stage IV in 11 knees (20%) in the closing-wedge group, and stage II in 10 knees (21%), stage III in 30 knees (62%), and stage IV in eight knees (17%) in the V procedure group. Using the chi-squared test, there was no significant difference in the stage of osteoarthritis between the two groups before operation (p = 0.76).

At the time of follow-up, TKR had been performed in two knees in the closing-wedge group and in four in the V procedure group. In the remaining patients the mean JOA score improved from 53.2 (35 to 65) before operation to 74.4 (50 to 95) at the final follow-up in the closing-wedge group and from 57.6 (35 to 70) to 85.2 (55 to 100) in the V procedure group (Table III). The difference was significant in both groups using Student’s t-test (p = 0.0000014). The functional gradings of the JOA scores are presented in Table III. At the final follow-up, the results were graded as good in 10 (18%), fair in 25 (45%) and poor in 21 (37%) in the closing-wedge group. In the V procedure group, the results were good in 27 (56%), fair in 12 (25%) and poor in 9 (19%) (Table III). In the closing-wedge group there were fewer good results at the final review.

The radiological results are presented in Table IV. The mean femorotibial angle was significantly less in the V procedure group at the final review. At one year there had been a greater loss of correction in the closing-wedge group, but this did not reach statistical significance. There was no significant difference in the radiological stage of osteoarthritis between the two groups at the final follow-up.

The mean time to full weight-bearing post-operatively was 91.7 days (40 to 145) in the closing-wedge group and 71.9 days (35 to 110) in the V procedure group (Student’s t-test, p = 0.015).

---

**Table I. Criteria for evaluation of osteoarthritis of the knee according to the Japanese Orthopaedic Association**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain on walking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to walk 1 km or more usually without pain, or only occasionally mild pain</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to walk 1 km or more regardless of pain</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to walk 500 m or more, but less than 1 km</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to walk 100 m or more, but less than 500 m</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to walk indoors or nearby, but less than 100 m</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to walk</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to stand</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Pain on ascending or descending stairs      |        |
| No pain                                     | 25     |
| Pain relieved by using handrails            | 20     |
| Pain with handrails, but no pain with step-by-step ambulation | 15 |
| Pain with step-by-step ambulation: relieved by using handrails | 10 |
| Pain even with step-by-step ambulation and handrail use | 5 |
| Inability to ascend or descend due to pain  | 0      |

| Range of movement                           |        |
| Ability to squat                            | 35     |
| Ability to sit sideways or cross-legged sitting | 30    |
| Flexion or arc of movement of 110° or more  | 25     |
| Flexion or arc of movement of 75° or more   | 20     |
| Flexion or arc of movement of 35° or more   | 10     |
| Flexion or arc of movement less than 35° including ankylosis or severe flexion contracture | 0 |

| Joint effusion                              |        |
| No effusion, no swelling                    | 10     |
| Occasional aspiration required              | 5      |
| Frequent aspiration required                | 0      |

| Total score                                 | 100    |

**Table II. Radiological stage of osteoarthritis according to the Hokkaido University grading system**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Radiological findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Bony spur only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Narrowing of joint space (less than 1/2 normal joint space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Narrowing of joint space (more than 1/2 normal joint space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Obliteration of joint space or minor bone erosion (less than 1 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Major bone erosion (more than 1 cm) or subluxation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Delayed union was observed in 13 (23%) knees in the closing-wedge group, but in only three (6%) in the V procedure group. There was a significant difference between the two groups (chi-squared test, p = 0.016). Peroneal nerve palsy was observed in two knees in the closing-wedge group and in one in the V procedure group. Superficial infection at the site of insertion of a pin occurred in one knee in the closing-wedge group and in two in the V procedure group.

**Discussion**

We compared the long-term results of closing-wedge osteotomy with those after using a modified inverted V high tibial osteotomy. Good and fair results of knee function were found more often in the V procedure group. In the radiological evaluation, the mean femorotibial angle for the V procedure group at the final follow-up was significantly less than that with the closing-wedge osteotomy. The change in the tibial plateau angle at one year showed a greater tendency towards recurrent varus in the closing-wedge series as well as a greater frequency of delayed union. The difference in the femorotibial angle at the final follow-up between the two procedures resulted from the change in tibial plateau angle found at the review at one year.

With closing-wedge osteotomies Insall et al\(^1^9\) reported satisfactory results in 37% of patients at a follow-up of more than nine years. Aglietti et al\(^1\) described excellent and good results in 64% after at least ten years and Rudan and Simurda\(^1^0\) had good and excellent results in 70% of 20 patients followed up for longer than ten years. Ivarsson et al\(^2^0\) noted acceptable results in 60% after 11 years. In our study the results were good and fair in 63% at a mean of 11 years and are comparable with other series.

However, in the inverted V-shape osteotomy group, good and fair results occurred in 81% of the patients. The pre-operative condition of the knees treated by the two methods was similar. The most likely explanation for the difference between the two groups is better maintenance of correction at one year and at final follow-up in the V procedure group. In our study, the duration until removal of the external fixator did not differ between the two groups but in the closing-wedge group, the tibial plateau angle was often 3˚ or more of varus immediately after the removal of the fixator. This suggests that bony union occurred earlier in the V procedure group. Closing-wedge high tibial osteotomy is an excellent operative technique with a long history,\(^4^,8^,2^0^,2^4^,3^0\) but must achieve close approximation after correction, otherwise delayed union may occur.\(^1^3\)

In the V procedure bony union may occur more readily because the surface area of resected bone is about half that needed for a closing-wedge osteotomy. The medial gap is filled with bone graft and less periosteal dissection of the posterior tibia is required than for a closing-wedge osteotomy.

Several authors have emphasised the importance of adequate correction and maintenance of valgus alignment.\(^1^1^,1^2^,1^4^,1^8^,2^1^,2^6^,2^9\) In our study, it was recognised that the optimal range of the angle of correction was 164˚ to

### Table III. Comparison of clinical results between closing-wedge osteotomy and inverted V-shape osteotomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Closing-wedge osteotomy</th>
<th>V procedure</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of knees</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (range) follow-up period (yrs)</td>
<td>11 (10 to 15)</td>
<td>14 (10 to 19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (range) JOA score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative</td>
<td>53.2 (35 to 65)</td>
<td>57.6 (35 to 70)</td>
<td>0.052(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final follow-up</td>
<td>74.4 (50 to 95)</td>
<td>85.2 (55 to 100)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (range) range of movement (˚)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>-2.5 (-8 to 0)</td>
<td>-3.5 (-8 to 0)</td>
<td>0.261(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion</td>
<td>126.9 (95 to 140)</td>
<td>127.3 (100 to 140)</td>
<td>0.815(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee function (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001(^1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\) JOA, Japanese orthopaedic association\(^2^3\)

\(^†\) Student’s \(t\)-test

\(^‡\) chi-squared test

### Table IV. Comparison of the radiological results between closing-wedge osteotomy and inverted V-shape osteotomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Closing-wedge osteotomy</th>
<th>V procedure</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of knees</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (range) FTA (˚)</td>
<td>170.6 (163 to 183)</td>
<td>166.8 (157 to 176)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (range) change of FTA at one-year post-operatively</td>
<td>1.3 (-5 to 12)</td>
<td>0.2 (-5 to 5)</td>
<td>0.032(^1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\) FTA, femorotibial angle

\(^†\) Student’s \(t\)-test
168° and strict attention was given to obtaining this in both groups. It was obtained more frequently in the V procedure group than in the closing-wedge group since bony union occurred earlier in the V procedure group making retention of the optimal correction angle more likely.

Several authors have reported a correlation between recurrence of varus and progression of osteoarthritis. Several authors have reported a correlation between recurrence of varus and progression of osteoarthritis. We found that post-operative loss of correction was observed less frequently after the V procedure even though full weight-bearing was initiated significantly earlier than after closing-wedge osteotomy.

Total knee replacement after high tibial osteotomy may pose problems with lateral deviation of the proximal tibia and difficulty in managing the patella during operation due to patella baja. The V procedure should minimise these difficulties.

A weakness of our study is its reliance on a historical control and a lack of random allocation. Therefore the outcome between the two groups cannot be attributed to a difference in the two procedures with any degree of certainty. Also, the loss to follow-up was high, being slightly worse in the V procedure group, which alone might have accounted for the difference between the groups.

In order to obtain good long-term results of high tibial osteotomy, the acquisition and maintenance of a strict angle of correction are important. Any osteotomy should be performed with consideration of the possibility of a subsequent TKR. Acknowledging the shortcomings of our study, the results suggest that the inverted V-shaped high tibial osteotomy can maintain the optimal correction angle with lasting long-term results.
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