THE STRAIGHT CERVICAL SPINE: DOES IT INDICATE MUSCLE SPASM?
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The loss of cervical lordosis in radiographs of patients presenting with neck pain is sometimes ascribed to muscle spasm. We performed a cross-sectional study of the prevalence of 'straight' cervical spines in three populations: 83 patients presenting to an accident department with acute neck pain, 83 referred to a radiology department with chronic neck problems, and 80 radiographs from a normal population survey carried out in 1958. Curvature was assessed on lateral radiographs both subjectively and by measurement.

The prevalence of 'straight' cervical spines was 19% in the acute cases and 26% in the chronic cases. The 95% confidence interval for the difference was -6.4% to +19.3%. In the normal population 42% showed a straight spine, but a further third of these films had been taken in a position of cervical kyphosis; this probably reflects a difference in positioning technique. Women were more likely than men to have a straight cervical spine, with an odds ratio of 2.81 (95% CI 1.23 to 6.44).

Our results fail to support the hypothesis that loss of cervical lordosis reflects muscle spasm caused by pain in the neck.
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Cervical spine radiographs which show loss of cervical lordosis are sometimes interpreted as indicating spasm of the neck muscles, and a similar significance is often placed on loss of lumbar lordosis in cases of low back pain (Cyriax 1978). The stronger extensor muscles, however, would be expected to induce an increase in lordosis in both the lumbar and the cervical spine or a rotational displacement such as is seen in acute torticollis.

The assessment of muscle spasm is difficult, requiring more objective methods than digital palpation. We therefore performed a radiological study of the relationship between loss of cervical lordosis and neck pain. A prospective longitudinal case-controlled study would have been difficult to justify, and so we decided on a cross-sectional study of groups of patients with differing presence and duration of neck pain. Patients with acute neck pain referred for cervical spine radiography from the emergency department were compared with those referred by general practitioners because of chronic neck problems. We assumed that the second group would be less likely to have muscle spasm. We thought it unethical to radiograph a normal control group, and we therefore asked the ARC Epidemiology Unit in Manchester for the Wensleydale and Leigh survey films obtained in 1958 (Lawrence 1969). Our hypothesis was that there would be no difference in the prevalence of loss of cervical lordosis between the three groups.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

In a pilot study, 29 radiographs of patients referred by general practitioners for chronic neck pain and 22 radiographs of patients who presented to the accident department with acute neck pain were read by two observers (PSH, PFE). Loss of cervical lordosis was found in 17% of the chronic group (5/29) and 36% of the acute group (8/22). From these figures we calculated that 80 cases in each group would be required to show that this difference was significant at the 5% level (power 90%).

For the main study, acute cases were randomly selected as every fourth name from a computer print-out, excluding patients under 16 years of age. Of the 380 names available, not all had cervical radiography. Of the 284 chronic cases referred by general practitioners an equivalent number of films were selected, using a similar procedure. The third group, of 'normal' films from the ARC survey, was selected randomly after stratification by age and sex: we obtained
films from ten men and ten women for each of the age ranges 16 to 30 years, 31 to 45, 46 to 60, and 61 or more. Most of these subjects had been asymptomatic at the time they were radiographed.

All films were read independently by two observers (PSH, PFE), and each was recorded as showing one of four shapes for the cervical spine from the body of C2 to the body of C7: normal lordosis (Fig. 1), kyphosis (Fig. 2), straight (Fig. 3) or ‘low straight’ where the spine appeared straight from C4 to C7 but retained a small lordosis at C2 to C4 (Fig. 4). At a later stage the curve was quantified by the method of Batzdorf and Batzdorff (1988), as shown in Figure 5. On each lateral film a line was drawn between the posterior inferior corners of C2 and C7. From this line we measured the perpendicular distance to the posterior inferior corners of each of C3 to C6, and then calculated the area bounded by the straight line and an arc whose radius was calculated to fit the posterior inferior corners of C3 to C6. Cervical curvature was then defined as:

1) kyphosis, −500 mm² to −100 mm²;
2) straight, −99 mm² to +99 mm²;
3) normal, +100 mm² to +499 mm²; and
4) hyperlordotic, +500 mm² to +1000 mm².

We used a wider cut-off than Batzdorf and Batzdorff (1988) for ‘straightness’ to reflect the results obtained by observation alone.

Statistics. Data were manipulated on a main-frame computer by standard statistical packages (Minitab and SPSS). The significance of any difference in prevalence of loss of cervical lordosis between the groups was calculated from a standardised normal deviate using a pooled estimate of variance for the difference between any two groups (Armitage and Berry 1987). We then used the presence or absence of a straight cervical spine as the dependent variable to perform logistic regression using age, sex and origin of radiograph as independent variables.

RESULTS
We were able to identify and read 83 ‘acute’ films, 83 ‘chronic’ films and 80 ‘normal’ films from the ARC Unit.
Table I. Details of the study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Sex ratio (M/F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accident department (n=83)</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General practitioner referral (n=83)</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>16 to 87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC survey (n=66)</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>16 to 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=232)</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>16 to 89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Relationship between subjective assessment of curvature and measurement of area in mm²

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjective assessment</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Kyphosis</th>
<th>Straight</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Hyperlordotic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kyphosis (n=44)</td>
<td>-500 to -100</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight (n=37)</td>
<td>-99 to +99</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low straight (n=20)</td>
<td>+100 to +499</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (n=121)</td>
<td>+500 to +1000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (n=222)</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Forty-four of the films from the ARC Unit were discarded because of their poor quality or because C7 was not visible, leaving 66. The median age and sex ratio of each group are given in Table I.

We were unable to calculate the area of the cervical curvature in five films from each of the ‘acute’ and ‘chronic’ groups leaving groups of 78, 78 and 66. Table II compares the observers’ assessment and the results of area measurement. There is reasonable agreement between the subjective and objective results, but 12 of the radiographs thought to be kyphotic on observation were categorised as either straight (n = 11) or normal (n = 1) by calculation and 20 of the radiographs considered to be in the ‘low straight’ category were measured as either straight (n = 9) or normal (n = 11).

The results for the objective data are given in Table III. There was virtually no difference between ‘acute’ films and ‘chronic’ films, with a non-significant difference in prevalence of ‘straightness’ between the two groups (difference +6.4%, 95% confidence interval -6.4% to +19.3%). The odds ratio for loss of lordosis in the ‘acute’ group was 0.69 (95% CI 0.32 to 1.47). The ‘normal’ group showed a clear difference from the others: many more spines were kyphotic or straight. We did not make statistical comparison with the other groups because of uncertainty about the technique used to obtain these radiographs, a problem which we had not anticipated at the start of our study.
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Histogram illustrating the relationship between the origin of the film and cervical curvature.

Table IV. Results of logistic regression analysis (see text for details)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>β</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1.0334</td>
<td>0.4253</td>
<td>p=0.01</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>1.23 to 6.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin</td>
<td>-0.5855</td>
<td>0.4730</td>
<td>p=0.21</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.22 to 1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.3868</td>
<td>0.2231</td>
<td>p=0.08</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.44 to 1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-0.7182</td>
<td>0.7177</td>
<td>p=0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

We found no difference in the prevalence of loss of cervical lordosis between films of patients with acute neck pain presenting to an accident department, and those from patients with more chronic symptoms referred by general practitioners. This result suggested that loss of cervical lordosis might be due merely to the variations in radiographic positioning. Two of the authors (PSH, PFE), therefore, had screening of their own cervical spines and found that a straight appearance could be readily achieved in a neck position compatible with that recommended by textbooks of radiography.

At first sight, the films from the ARC survey appeared
to provide an ideal normal control group, but the high proportion which showed a flexed or straight cervical spine (Fig. 6) suggests that a different technique had been used to obtain these radiographs. Review of earlier editions of the standard radiography text by Clark showed that the 1964 edition advised that the lateral cervical spine be radiographed in extension (Clarke 1964); the 1986 edition (Swallow et al 1986) suggests that the jaw should be slightly raised, to take the angle of the mandible away from the atlanto-axial joint. This does not explain why so many of the ARC survey films had been taken in a flexed position.

Our results suggest, though not conclusively, that loss of cervical lordosis is not uniquely associated with acute neck pain and may well result from the radiographer's positioning of patients with a flexible spine. Fullenlove and Williams (1957), investigating low back pain, found loss of lumbar lordosis in 22% of normal asymptomatic subjects. We conclude therefore that muscle spasm cannot be inferred when loss of lordosis is shown.
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